Integrating affective and cognitive correlates of heart rate variability: A structural equation modeling approach
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Abstract

High frequency heart variability (HRV) is a measure of neurocardiac communication thought to reflect predominantly parasympathetic cardiac regulation. Low HRV has been associated empirically with clinical and subclinical levels of anxiety and depression and, more recently, high levels of HRV have been associated with better performance on some measures of executive functioning (EF). These findings have offered support for theories proposing HRV as an index measure of a broad, self-regulatory capacity underlying aspects of emotion regulation and executive control. This study sought to test that proposition by using a structural equation modeling approach to examine the relationships of HRV to negative affect (NA) and EF in a large sample of U.S. adults ages 30s–80s. HRV was modeled as a predictor of an NA factor (self-reported trait anxiety and depression symptoms) and an EF factor (performance on three neuropsychological tests tapping facets of executive abilities). Alternative models also were tested to determine the utility of HRV for predicting NA and EF, with and without statistical control of demographic and health-related covariates. In the initial structural model, HRV showed a significant positive relationship to EF and a nonsignificant relationship to NA. In a covariate-adjusted model, HRV's associations with both constructs were nonsignificant. Age emerged as the only significant predictor of NA and EF in the final model, showing inverse relationships to both. Findings may reflect population and methodological differences from prior research; they also suggest refinements to the interpretations of earlier findings and theoretical claims regarding HRV.
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1. Introduction

Autonomic and neuroendocrine mechanisms associated with negative emotion have been implicated in the development of mental and physical health problems (Brooks et al., 2011; Glick et al., 1965). Although the focus has been on sympathetic adrenomedullary activity associated with emotional volatility (Kreibig, 2010), a growing body of work examines parasympathetic nervous system influences on heart rate variability (HRV) thought to reflect emotion regulation (Berntson et al., 1997; Levy, 1990). High HRV, taken in this work to indicate greater parasympathetic (vagal) tone, is viewed as a possible marker for cardioprotective processes, whereas low HRV/less parasympathetic tone is considered a potential risk factor for cardiovascular disease (CVD), adverse cardiac events, and all-cause mortality (Dekker et al., 1997, 2000; Tsuji et al., 1994, 1996).

Inverse relationships have been reported between HRV and symptoms of anxiety and depression in physically healthy individuals (Friedman, 2007; Rottenberg, 2007). Both anxiety and depression are considered possible risk factors for CVD and other physical health problems (Gianaros and Sheu, 2009; Rozanzki et al., 1999; Suls and Bunde, 2005). There are also reports of positive relationships between HRV and executive functioning (EF; reviewed in Thayer et al., 2009), cognitive control processes that support planning and execution of goal-directed activity. These inverse associations with negative affect (NA) and its sequelae, and positive associations with EF, are consistent with theories conceptualizing HRV as a measure of bidirectional neurocardiac communication that reflects adaptive mechanisms of affective and cognitive self-regulation (Bates and Buckman, 2013; Benarroch, 1997; Berntson et al., 2007).

The present study addressed several questions that emerge from the work outlined above by using structural equation modeling (SEM) to examine simultaneously the relationships of HRV to both NA and EF. A large, nonclinical sample of U.S. adults permitted a test of the HRV–NA relationship in individuals predominantly without anxiety or mood disorders or clinical CVD. It also afforded the opportunity to examine associations among HRV, NA, and EF across a broad age range. In addition, employing SEM allowed a more comprehensive representation of EF compared with most prior studies of its relationship to HRV.
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1.1. Associations of HRV with anxiety and depression

Among the anxiety disorders, panic and post-traumatic stress disorders have shown the strongest relationships with low HRV, while less consistent results have been found for generalized anxiety disorder and phobias (Friedman, 2007). In nonclinical samples, low HRV has been associated with biased attention toward threat (Miskovic and Schmidt, 2010) and delay in disengaging attention from threat (Coca et al., 2012). These cognitive responses have been linked to anxiety disorders, but are not measures of anxiety (Clark, 1999). In other research, low resting HRV has been found to predict exaggerated startle response under threat of shock (Melz et al., 2009) and increased startle magnitude following neutral stimuli (Ruiz-Padial et al., 2003). These findings have been discussed in terms of anticipatory anxiety. However, while startle modulation has validity for measuring neurobiological aspects of emotion, it is not itself a measure of subjective NA. With regard to trait anxiety, which is a marker for chronic NA, an inverse association with HRV has been shown in medical patients (e.g., Kogan et al., 2012), but findings in physically healthy individuals have been inconsistent (Bleil et al., 2008; Dishman et al., 2000; Fuller, 1992; Virtanen et al., 2003; Watkins et al., 1998).

Turning to HRV’s relationship to depressive symptoms, much of the research has been conducted in CVD patients (e.g., Carney et al., 2001; Stein et al., 2000), raising the possibility that CVD confounds or moderates this association (Kemp et al., 2010). Studies of HRV and depression in physically healthy participants have shown more mixed and modest findings than the HRV–anxiety literature (Kemp et al., 2010; Rottenberg, 2007). Major considerations regarding the discrepancies include: (1) some antidepressant medications affect HRV, (2) unmeasured cardiovascular factors may be linked to both HRV and depression, and (3) unmeasured comorbid anxiety may account for effects on HRV. To our knowledge, only one study has examined HRV’s relationship to symptoms of both anxiety and depression in a large community sample. Bleil et al. (2008) reported that in young and middle-aged adults (N = 653) depression and anxiety each independently predicted HRV and contributed to the higher-order latent variable of negative affect, which also predicted HRV.

1.2. Association of HRV with executive functioning

Executive functions are defined as cognitive control mechanisms for maintaining task goals and flexibly implementing task rules (e.g., Miller and Cohen, 2001). One prominent theoretical framework for characterizing individual differences in EF describes a three-factor model comprising (1) monitoring and updating information in working memory, (2) task shifting, and (3) inhibition of prepotent responses (Miyake et al., 2000). Factor analyses have shown these three EF components to be intercorrelated yet separable facets of the same underlying construct (Miyake et al., 2000).

Several studies reported associations between resting HRV and performance on tasks involving EF (Thayer et al., 2009). Among young, male Norwegian Navy personnel, high-HRV participants showed superior accuracy on a computerized, two-back working memory measure, and faster responding, with a trend toward better accuracy, on particular components of a continuous performance task (Hansen et al., 2003). Other studies using the same tasks and Navy population reported improved EF under stress only among low-HRV participants; also reported were coincident increases in HRV and improvements in EF task components after fitness training (Hansen et al., 2004, 2009). Similar to the latter finding, a study of older, sedentary adults found increased HRV and improvement on the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test only in the group assigned to an exercise regimen (Albinet et al., 2010).

This work provides initial support for a correlation between higher resting HRV and better performance on several tasks tapping facets of EF. However, the samples were relatively small (N = 24–65), predominantly male, and drawn from the extremes of the adult population in terms of age and physical fitness. Moreover, some continuous measures of HRV were dichotomized, and single-task or task-component measures reflect relatively narrow conceptualizations of EF. The present study aimed to clarify the HRV–EF relationship in a nonclinical adult population by (1) using a larger, more diverse sample than much prior research in this area; (2) treating HRV as a continuous variable; and (3) conceptualizing EF as a latent variable measured by a set of tasks tapping its major theorized components.

1.3. Relationship of age to HRV, NA, and EF

Age has a pervasive influence on HRV, NA, and EF, and is therefore an important factor in modeling their inter-relationships. There is a well-documented, inverse association between age and HRV (e.g., Kuo et al., 1999; O’Brien et al., 1986; Sinnreich et al., 1998; Voss et al., 2012). Age also is associated with a number of more firmly established CVD risk factors, including hypertension, heart disease, hypercholesterolemia, diabetes or hyperglycemia, body mass index (BMI), smoking, and low levels of physical activity (Davis et al., 2011; Lakatta and Levy, 2003); these factors have been linked in turn with low HRV (Thayer and Lane, 2007). Though research on age and adult anxiety and depression has yielded mixed results, studies controlling for risk factors (e.g., sex, education, marital status, socioeconomic status) generally have shown age-related declines in anxiety and depression risk (Jorm, 2000). EF has also shown an inverse association with age in research on age-related cognitive decline (e.g., Bryan and Luszcz, 2000; reviewed in Luszcz, 2011), though the picture is somewhat clouded by variations in the definition and measurement of EF (Luszcz, 2011). One source of ambiguity is the possibility that global, age-related declines in processing speed, rather than EF specifically, might better explain patterns of cognitive aging (Salthouse, 1996). On the other hand, processing speed and efficiency may be inherent to the EF construct (e.g., Albinet et al., 2012; Borella et al., 2011).

1.4. Aims and hypotheses

In a large, nonclinical adult sample spanning six decades of age (30s–80s), SEM was used to examine the relationships of resting HRV to NA and EF. We sought to extend prior work by representing HRV as a continuous variable, examining anxiety and depression as indicators of a latent NA construct, and modeling EF as a latent construct reflecting three component cognitive abilities. This represents the first effort to operationalize and test theories proposing HRV as an index of individual differences in a broad set of affective and cognitive self-regulatory processes (Appelhans and Luecken, 2006; Forges, 2011; Thayer and Lane, 2009). It was hypothesized that: (1) HRV would show an inverse relationship to NA and a positive relationship to EF; (2) NA and EF would have an inverse association; and (3) controlling for other prominent CVD risk factors, age would be inversely associated with HRV, NA, and EF, and contribute, in part, to their interrelationships.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Participants

Data were drawn from the second wave of the Midlife in the United States (MIDUS) study (MIDUS II; 2002–2006), which collected biomedical, psychosocial, cognitive, and psychophysiological data from a large, diverse sample of U.S. adults (N = 4975) aged 33 to 84 years. MIDUS II included 9-year follow-ups of all four subsamples in MIDUS I: (1) a national random digit dialing (RDD) sample, (2) oversamples from 5 U.S. cities, (3) siblings of participants from the RDD sample, and (4) a national RDD sample of twin pairs. In addition, MIDUS II added an African-American subsample from Milwaukee, WI. To be eligible to participate, individuals had to be non-institutionalized English-speakers.
living in the continental U.S. and aged 25 to 74 when they took part in MIDUS I.

The current analyses used data drawn from two study components initiated in MIDUS II: the Biomarker Project (n = 1255), which collected data on psychophysiological, biomedical, and psychosocial parameters; and the Cognitive Project (n = 4512), which collected data on cognitive functioning. The eligible sample for the current study before exclusion criteria were applied included the subset of MIDUS II participants who took part in both of these new studies and had valid resting HRV data (n = 1056).

Several exclusion criteria were applied to limit major confounding influences. First, eligible participants who did not deny a history of stroke were excluded (i.e., those who affirmed a history of stroke [n = 20] or for whom data on history of stroke were missing [n = 59]). Stroke has been associated with low HRV, decrements in neurocognitive functioning, and increased risk of depression (Dütsch et al., 2007; Robinson, 2006). Individuals taking antidepressant medications (ADMs; n = 157) and those taking antihypertensive medications (n = 367) also were excluded, as these medications have been shown to affect cardiac autonomic functioning (Lampert et al., 2003; Licht et al., 2008, 2009; Rottenberg, 2007; Toivonen, 1994). Table 1 presents demographic and clinical characteristics of the sample (N = 533).

2.2. Data collection procedures

Data for the MIDUS II Biomarker Project were collected from July 2004 to June 2006. MIDUS II participants who completed the MIDUS I follow-up phone interview and self-administered questionnaire (Project 1) were eligible, except those drawn from the city oversamples. Biomarker Project participants traveled to one of 3 regional research centers for an overnight stay. All sites followed an identical protocol. The self-report questionnaires, including measures of anxious and depressive symptoms (STAI-T and CES-D), were completed in writing on the evening of the first day. The psychophysiological recording session was conducted in the morning on the second day, following a light breakfast with no caffeinated beverages (Ryff et al., 2010a).

HRV data were collected with electrocardiograph (ECG) electrodes placed on each shoulder and in the left lower quadrant. Simultaneously, respiration rate was measured using bands placed on the chest and abdomen. The participant remained seated for equipment positioning and calibration and during recording. Following the instruction to breathe normally, 10-min simultaneous baseline ECG and respiration recordings were obtained; ECG recordings were divided into two 5-min epochs for processing. As described elsewhere (Ryff et al., 2010a; Schleslaskaya et al., 2010), a National Instruments A/D board was used to digitize the analog ECG signals at 500 Hz and to pass them to a microcomputer. Proprietary event detection software was used to submit the ECG waveform to an R-wave detection routine, which yielded an RR interval series. Errors in R-wave marking were corrected following established procedures (see Schleslaskaya et al., 2010). The spectra of the RR interval series were calculated using an interval method for computing Fourier transforms, in which the mean of the RR interval series was first subtracted from each series value (see DeBoer, Karemaker, and Strackee, 1984). Next, the series was filtered using a Hanning window; estimates of spectral power were adjusted to offset any attenuation caused by this filter (Harris, 1978).

All MIDUS II participants were eligible for the Cognitive Project. Participants were administered the Brief Test of Adult Cognition by Telephone (BTACT) to collect data on six cognitive domains: episodic verbal memory, inductive reasoning, processing speed, working memory span, verbal fluency, and task-switching (Lachman and Tun, 2008; Tun and Lachman, 2006). A detailed description of BTACT administration is available (Tun and Lachman, 2006). Of note, the MIDUS 2 Biomarker Project and Cognitive Project are separately administered study components. As a result, the interval between the collection of biomarker data, including NA measures, and cognitive data varied among participants (M = 24.12 months, SD = 14.11 months).

Of several prominent cardiovascular risk factors that served as covariates in this study, most were obtained during participants’ lab stay for Biomarker Project data collection. On their first day at the lab, participants underwent a standardized medical history interview including questions about prior and present hypertension and heart disease, and current regular levels of physical activity, as well as other symptoms and conditions (Ryff et al., 2010b). On the next morning of the lab stay, prior to the collection of psychophysiological data (including HRV measures), participants received a physical exam including assessments of BMI and blood LDL cholesterol (mg/dL); the latter was measured from a fasting blood sample taken that morning (Ryff et al., 2010b). Data for two covariates, history of diabetes or hyperglycemia and lifetime history of regular cigarette smoking, were obtained via self-report during the MIDUS 2 Psychosocial Project, a follow-up to MIDUS 1 (MIDUS, 2007). The former represented the most complete source of relevant data for this subset of participants, while for the latter, the Psychosocial Project was the only source.

### Table 1

Demographic and clinical characteristics of the sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demographics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>54.9 (10.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>247 (46.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>268 (53.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race/origin</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.06 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3.2 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refused</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Highest level of education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No high school diploma or equivalency certificate</td>
<td>13 (2.5)</td>
<td>28.2 (5.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduated from high school or received equivalency certificate</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2 years of college, no degree</td>
<td>29 (5.4)</td>
<td>29 (5.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 or more years of college, no degree</td>
<td>25 (4.7)</td>
<td>25 (4.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduated from 2-year college, vocational school, or associate degree</td>
<td>37 (6.9)</td>
<td>37 (6.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graduated from a 4- or 5-year college, or bachelor degree</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>25.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some graduate school or completed graduate degree</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinical characteristics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (BMI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever had heart disease</td>
<td>17 (3.2)</td>
<td>17 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever had high blood pressure/hypertension (12 months)</td>
<td>29 (5.4)</td>
<td>29 (5.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever had diabetes/high blood sugar (12 months)</td>
<td>22 (4.1)</td>
<td>22 (4.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood LDL cholesterol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>110.2 (34.0)</td>
<td>110.2 (34.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever smoked cigarettes regularly</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise at least 20 min 3 times/week</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional health-related characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Currently smoke cigarettes regularly</td>
<td>59 (11.1)</td>
<td>59 (11.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever had depression</td>
<td>74 (13.9)</td>
<td>74 (13.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever had cholesterol problems</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taking corticosteroid medications</td>
<td>52 (9.8)</td>
<td>52 (9.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taking cholesterol medications</td>
<td>85 (15.9)</td>
<td>85 (15.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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2.3. Measures

2.3.1. Resting HRV

High-frequency R-R interval variability (HF-HRV; bandwidth 0.15–0.50 Hz, ms\(^2\)) was used to measure resting HRV. The frequency domain measure was selected for these analyses because time-domain measures include some low-frequency contributions to R-R interval that may confound sympathetic and vagal influences (Bernston et al., 2005). To maximize both sample size and between-subject variability, we utilized a subset of the MIDUS II sample, who were tested only once. It has shown good test-retest reliability over intervals of three weeks (.81–.99; Bertsch et al., 2012) to several months (.76–.80; Sinnreich et al., 1998) in healthy adults.

2.3.2. Negative affect

The Spielberger State Trait Anxiety Inventory—Trait version (STAI-T) is a 20-item inventory that uses a 4-point Likert scale to measure anxiety symptoms elicited by potentially stressful situations (Spielberger, 1983, 1989). The scale has high test–retest reliability and good convergent validity with other self-report anxiety measures (Spielberger, 1983). Reliability in the current sample was adequate (Cronbach’s alpha = .75).

The Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CESD) is a 20-item measure of depressive symptoms designed for large-scale surveys (Radloff, 1977; Roberts and Vernon, 1983). It has a stable factor structure across large clinical and nonclinical samples, high internal and adequate test–retest reliability, and good convergent and discriminant validity (Contrada et al., 2006; Radloff, 1977). It has strong psychometric properties in older populations (Herzog et al., 1990). Cronbach’s alpha = .88 in the present sample.

2.3.3. Executive functioning

The Digits Backward task, which measures the longest series of digits an individual can mentally resequence in reverse order, is a common measure of attention and working memory (Tun and Lachman, 2006). This task demands sustained attention and active manipulation of the words (e.g., red—green, green—red), and a mixed block. In the mixed block, participants were cued with the words normal and reverse to switch between response types at unpredictable intervals. Participants showed high accuracy (>94%) across age groups and task conditions, and speed was not compromised for accuracy, even when controlling for age (Tun and Lachman, 2008). The present analyses used average response latencies of switch and non-switch trials during the mixed block. Latency values were subtracted from zero so that higher values would correspond to better performance as with other measures. Test–retest reliability over a 6-month interval in a representative subset of MIDUS II participants was adequate (r = .77) for the mixed-task condition (Tun and Lachman, 2008).

The Category Fluency task measures the number of unique items from a semantic category (e.g., animals) an individual can generate in 1 min. This task is interpreted as a measure of the executive processes of active self-monitoring and inhibition (Lezak et al., 2004; Tun and Lachman, 2006). It has shown adequate test–retest reliability (r = .70) in middle-aged and elderly samples (Harrison et al., 2000; Snow et al., 1988).

2.4. Covariates

2.4.1. Demographic factors

Age and sex, which have been associated with resting HRV in previous research (e.g., Kou et al., 1999; Stein et al., 1997), were examined to account for their possible relationships with HRV, NA and EF. Differences in resting HRV across racial groups also have been reported (e.g., Choi et al., 2006), but the racial makeup of the sample precluded analysis of this factor (see Table 1).

2.4.2. Cardiovascular health

A great number of factors related to cardiovascular health potentially influence HRV and its relationship to other factors, including NA and EF, so hypothesized covariates in this domain were first selected on a theoretical basis. Given that low HRV has been associated with CVD and is considered a possible CVD risk factor (Dekker et al., 1997, 2000; Tsuji et al., 1994, 1996), several other major CVD risk factors were considered eligible covariates based on their respective prior associations with low HRV (reviewed in Thayer and Lane, 2007). These included hypertension, heart disease, hypercholesterolemia, diabetes or hyperglycemia, BMI, smoking, and low levels of physical activity (NHLBI, 2012).

2.4.3. Covariate selection

Demographic and health-related covariates were controlled in order to guard against these factors causing spurious relationships between HRV and other constructs. In order to maintain relative parsimony in the adjusted models, analyses focused on the subset of hypothesized covariates significantly linked to HRV in this sample. First, the demographic and CVD-related covariates respective bivariate relationships to HRV were examined. After the initial structural model was analyzed, the subset of potential covariates showing significant bivariate associations with HRV (age, BMI, presence of diabetes or hyperglycemia) was included in the adjusted model to account for potential extraneous demographic- or CVD-related explanations for relationships between HRV and NA or EF. Including covariates significantly related to HRV helped to yield more interpretable adjusted models, but it is nonetheless important to note that even covariates showing non-significant relationships to HRV from a statistical standpoint may exert a substantial influence in clinical or practical terms, either individually or collectively.

2.5. Statistical analyses

The general mode of analysis involved structural equation modeling (SEM), which incorporates both measured and latent variables and can represent simultaneously the hypothesized interrelationships between multiple variables, in order to test the overall model’s fit to the data. The maximum likelihood (ML) method was used to analyze covariance matrices. This method uses all available data to estimate parameters, standard errors, and test statistics that are unbiased when data are missing at random or completely at random and are multivariate normal (Brown, 2006); it also has performed well relative to other methods when data are nonnormal (Savelei and Bentler, 2005).

The various indices used to evaluate models in SEM account for different facets of model fit. Consequently, the convention followed in these analyses is to evaluate and report a standard set of fit indices for each model to compensate for the limitations of particular indices and minimize Type I and Type II errors (Hu and Bentler, 1999; Kline,
3. Results

3.1. Preliminary analyses

Preliminary analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics 20 (IBM Corporation, 2012) to check for skew, kurtosis, and univariate outliers with z-scores greater than ±4.0; these were set to their respective, transformed data used in the analyses. For the three non-normally distributed variables, bivariate correlations were compared using the chi-square difference test, in which a significant difference indicates that the additional parameters estimated in the more complex model have improved the model’s fit to the data enough to justify the decline in parsimony compared to the simpler model (Hoyle, 2012). In addition, the models’ Akaike information criterion (AIC) and Browne-Cudeck criterion (BCC) values were compared, with a decrease on these measures of at least 10 units indicating a significantly better fitting model (Burnham and Anderson, 2004).

3.2. Measurement model

Modeling analyses were conducted with AMOS 21 software (Arbuckle, 2012). As has been recommended, the measurement model was examined first, followed by the structural model (Kline, 2005). The measurement model included two correlated latent variables, NA and EF. NA was modeled with two indicators: the CESD and STAI-T, and EF was modeled with three indicators: category fluency, backward digit span, and the Red/Green task. Results are shown in Fig. 1. Based on accepted standards (Kline, 2005), the model showed good fit to the data ($\chi^2 = 2.723, df = 4, p = .605, NFI = .994, CFI = 1.00, RMSEA <.001$). All indicators had significant factor loadings ($p = .011-.001$). NA and EF showed a significant inverse relationship as expected ($r = -.15, p = .04$).

3.3. Structural model

The full model showed good fit to the data ($\chi^2 = 5.981, df = 7, p = .542, NFI = .998, CFI = 1.00, RMSEA <.001$; Fig. 2). As expected, the path from HRV to executive functioning was positive and significant ($\beta = .17, B = .015, SE = .006, p = .02$). However, the path from HRV to NA was not significant ($\beta = -.01, B = -.015, SE = .023, p = .51$), nor was the path from EF to NA ($\beta = -.13, B = -.771, SE = .527, p = .05$).

3.4. Covariate-adjusted model

As a means of taking into account potential confounding effects, an alternative model was then evaluated that incorporated the subset of hypothesized demographic- and CVD-related covariates that showed significant bivariate correlations with HRV. Sex, hypertension, heart disease, hypercholesterolemia, smoking, and low physical activity all showed very small and nonsignificant associations with HRV ($r = -.07-.05$) and were not included in the covariate-adjusted model. Age ($r = -.31, p < .001$), BMI ($r = -.12, p = .004$), and the presence of hyperglycemia or diabetes in the past 12 months ($r = -.10, p = .02$) showed small but significant bivariate correlations with HRV and were included. These three variables were allowed to intercorrelate because they tend to co-occur as risk factors for both CVD and type 2 diabetes (Lindström and Tuomilehto, 2003; NHLBI, 2012; Yusuf et al., 1998); they were hypothesized to correlate with HRV (Thayer and Lane, 2007) and were examined as predictors of NA and EF.

The covariate-adjusted model yielded a significant chi-square ($\chi^2 = 32.52, df = 16, p = .01$), nominally indicating inadequate fit (Fig. 3). However, the chi-square test is known to be inflated by large sample size and the presence of high correlations within a model (Kline, 2005). The remaining fit indices subsequently were examined to determine whether the significant chi-square value accurately reflected inadequate fit or was more likely a Type II error due to the large sample size and the introduction of significantly correlated covariates. These other indices uniformly indicated good model fit (NFI = .951, CFI = .973, RMSEA = .044), supporting the interpretation of an inflated chi-square statistic and good model fit to the data (Kline, 2005). In this model, the path from HRV to EF was no longer significant ($\beta = .01, B = .001, SE = .005, p = .92$), and the path from HRV to NA remained nonsignificant. Age significantly predicted EF ($\beta = -.44, B = -.005, SE = .001, p < .001$) and NA ($\beta = -.31, B = -.022, SE = .005, p < .001$). The path from EF to NA was significant ($\beta = -.27, B = -.1899, SE = .659, p = .004$). Each covariate retained its significant inverse relationship to HRV (age: $r = -.31, p < .001$; diabetes/hyperglycemia: $r = -.10, p = .02$; BMI: $r = -.12, p = .001$). In addition, diabetes/hyperglycemia correlated significantly with age ($r = .11, p = .01$) and BMI ($r = -.14, p = .001$). The correlation between age and BMI was not significant ($r = -.03, p = .49$).

3.5. Model comparison

Finally, the covariate-adjusted model was compared to an alternative model in which the covariates were allowed to intercorrelate but their relationships with the variables of interest (HRV, NA, and EF) were constrained to zero. Retaining the full set of paths in the model while constraining the covariates’ relationships to key variables allows for a direct comparison of two structurally identical, nested models: one in which the covariates’ relationships to HRV are accounted for, and a second, nested within the first, in which HRV is the sole predictor of NA and EF. This comparison was designed to indicate whether including covariates in the model improved its fit sufficiently to justify the increase in complexity.

The constrained model showed poor fit to the data across all indices ($\chi^2 = 174.855, df = 23, p < .001, NFI = .738, CFI = .759, RMSEA = .106$). A chi-square difference test yielded a significant result ($\chi^2_{df} = 142.334, df_{df} = 9, p < .001$), indicating that the model including
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Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HF-HRV**</td>
<td>0.309 (0.815)</td>
<td>-0.031</td>
<td>.021</td>
<td>.064</td>
<td>.053</td>
<td>.108</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAI**</td>
<td>32.7 (8.55)</td>
<td>- .727**</td>
<td>- .105</td>
<td>- .041</td>
<td>- .033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CESD**</td>
<td>7.23 (7.12)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.067</td>
<td>.042</td>
<td>.046</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category fluency</td>
<td>20.44 (5.82)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>.143**</td>
<td>.281**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digits backward</td>
<td>5.18 (1.42)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>- .159</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red/Green</td>
<td>3.06 (1.03)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: All descriptive statistics reflect raw data. CESD = Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale; HF-HRV = high-frequency heart rate variability (0.15–0.50 Hz, ms²); STAI = Spielberger Trait Anxiety Inventory–Trait Scale.

*For the three non-normally distributed variables, bivariate correlations reflect the log-transformed data used in the analyses.

* $p < .05$.

** $p < .01$. 
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estimates of the covariates' relationships to key variables provided significantly better fit compared to the model in which these relationships were constrained to zero. The AIC and BCC values likewise showed that the model in which covariates' relationships to the variables of interest were estimated (AIC = 108.521, BCC = 109.977) was superior to the structurally equivalent model in which these paths were constrained to zero (AIC = 232.855, BCC = 233.966).

4. Discussion

A measurement model representing NA and EF as latent constructs manifested in multiple observed indicators showed adequate fit to the data. These findings indicated that conditions were met to assess relationships linking HRV to separate, multifaceted NA and EF constructs. In an initial structural model that showed good fit with the data, resting HRV showed the hypothesized positive association with EF. But neither HRV nor EF showed expected, inverse relationships with NA. A second structural model that added demographic and health-related covariates also showed good fit. In this model, HRV was no longer related to EF, and the expected inverse association emerged between EF and NA. Age showed inverse associations with HRV, NA, and EF, and HRV. A third model constraining the covariates' associations with HRV, EF, and NA to zero showed poor fit to the data. Model comparison statistics showed the second model, in which associations were permitted between the covariates and the variables of interest, to be superior to the constrained model.

Thus, it was the covariate-adjusted model that was best supported by the data. The EF–NA relationship it contains is consistent with the literature showing that lower levels of executive control are associated with subclinical levels of anxiety and depression (Kaiser et al., 2014; Koster et al., 2006). It also accords with theories conceptualizing executive control as a contributor to self-regulatory processes including emotion regulation (Hofmann et al., 2012; Zelazo and Cunningham, 2007). The relationships involving age are also consistent with previous theory and research. Theoretical and methodological implications of the findings are discussed below.

4.1. Relationship between HRV and NA

In this study, HRV showed a negligible relationship to NA. This was true with and without statistical control for demographic and health-related variables. This contrasts with prior findings linking low HRV to...
symptoms of anxiety and depression. Among likely explanations for this disparity are population differences. Most reports of associations between low HRV and NA were based on clinical or mixed samples (e.g., Friedman, 2007; Rottenberg, 2007; but see Bleil et al., 2008), in contrast to the current epidemiological, largely nonclinical sample. One possibility is that HRV is not related to subclinical negative affect at effect sizes that could be detected in the current study. Alternatively, the relationship of low HRV to clinical depression in some previous work may be overstated due to insufficient controls for use of antidepressant medication (ADM). Findings from the Netherlands Study of Depression and Anxiety, which examined very large samples (N = 2000) of psychologically disordered, remitted, and healthy individuals, showed that ADM use, rather than clinical symptoms, accounted for associations of HRV with major depression (Licht et al., 2008) and anxiety disorders (Licht et al., 2009). Another possibility is that the exclusion of MIDUS II participants taking ADMS in the present study prevented the detection of a real but modest HRV–NA relationship driven by persistent, clinical levels of NA among excluded participants (Kemp et al., 2012). A further population difference concerns CVD. The relative absence of CVD or CVD risk factors appears to characterize study samples in which associations between HRV and depression have been more mixed and modest (Kemp et al., 2010; Rottenberg, 2007). Similarly, relationships between HRV and anxiety symptoms in physically healthy samples have been inconsistent (Bleil et al., 2008; Dishman et al., 2000; Fuller, 1992; Virtanen et al., 2003; Watkins et al., 1998).

Although inconsistent, low HRV has shown stronger associations with anxiety than with depression, including some work in nonclinical samples (Kemp et al., 2010; Rottenberg, 2007), suggesting that factors other than population differences may be at work. One issue concerns alternative operationalizations of anxiety. Associations of low HRV with greater emotion-modulated startle magnitude and potentiation (e.g., Melzig et al., 2009; Ruiz-Padial et al., 2003) may reflect anxiety-related neurobiological processes that are not tightly coupled with antidepressant medication (ADM). Findings from the Netherlands Study of Depression and Anxiety, which examined very large samples (N = 2000) of psychologically disordered, remitted, and healthy individuals, showed that ADM use, rather than clinical symptoms, accounted for associations of HRV with major depression (Licht et al., 2008) and anxiety disorders (Licht et al., 2009). Another possibility is that the exclusion of MIDUS II participants taking ADMS in the present study prevented the detection of a real but modest HRV–NA relationship driven by persistent, clinical levels of NA among excluded participants (Kemp et al., 2012). A further population difference concerns CVD. The relative absence of CVD or CVD risk factors appears to characterize study samples in which associations between HRV and depression have been more mixed and modest (Kemp et al., 2010; Rottenberg, 2007). Similarly, relationships between HRV and anxiety symptoms in physically healthy samples have been inconsistent (Bleil et al., 2008; Dishman et al., 2000; Fuller, 1992; Virtanen et al., 2003; Watkins et al., 1998).

Although inconsistent, low HRV has shown stronger associations with anxiety than with depression, including some work in nonclinical samples (Kemp et al., 2010; Rottenberg, 2007), suggesting that factors other than population differences may be at work. One issue concerns alternative operationalizations of anxiety. Associations of low HRV with greater emotion-modulated startle magnitude and potentiation (e.g., Melzig et al., 2009; Ruiz-Padial et al., 2003) may reflect anxiety-related neurobiological processes that are not tightly coupled with self-reported anxiety symptoms such as were examined in the present study. This suggestion is consistent with a lack of relationship between trait anxiety and fear-potentiated startle in nonclinical samples (Cook et al., 1992; Grillon et al., 1993), which supports a conservative interpretation of startle-related measures as a function of fear elicited by the immediate stimulus, rather than an indicator of generally higher anxiety levels (Grillon and Baas, 2003). Similarly, the kind of attentional measures implicated in cognitive models of anxiety, which have been related to HRV in previous studies (Cocia et al., 2012; Miskovic and Schmidt, 2010), is associated with, but separable from, stable, subjective reports of anxiety symptoms.

The absence of association between HRV and NA in the present study failed to support the notion that HRV indexes a broad capacity for adaptive responding that underlies cognitive and affective self-regulation (Porges, 2011; Thayer and Lane, 2009). One implication of this, as suggested by the foregoing discussion, is that such relationships between HRV and self-regulatory capacities may characterize populations with anxiety disorders, mood disorders, and/or CVD and its risk factors, but not the relatively healthy population represented by the current sample. If this speculation was accurate, it would suggest that a higher order flexible responding capacity (Porges, 2011; Thayer and Lane, 2009) describes an individual difference dimension that emerges only in the context of certain mental and physical health problems. Another possible implication is that the absence of a HRV–NA relationship in the present study identifies a boundary condition, such that the adaptive capacity indexed by HRV is narrower than has been theorized, lacking a simple, direct connection to affective self-regulation and specific to cognitive aspects of self-regulation. We now turn to that possibility.

### 4.2. Relationship between HRV and EF

The relationship between HRV and EF in this study depended on whether the covariates (age, BMI, and diabetes/hyperglycemia) were included in the model. Without covariates (see Fig. 2), an initial structural model showed a significant positive relationship between HRV and EF. No other paths were significant, yet this model fit the data well.

In the covariate-adjusted model (Fig. 3), addition of the covariates eliminated the HRV–EF relationship. This appeared to be due largely to the addition of age, which showed significant, substantial inverse associations with HRV, EF, and NA, in accord with existing theory and empirical precedents. An inverse association between age and HRV has been documented (e.g. Kuo et al., 1999; O’Brien et al., 1986; Sinnreich et al., 1998). An inverse association between age and EF is consistent with evidence of differential age-related decline in various cognitive abilities (e.g., Bryan and Luszcz, 2000; reviewed in Luszcz, 2011) and with evidence that normal aging is associated with structural and functional changes in frontal lobe structures that subserve executive abilities (Raz et al., 1997, 2005; Spreng et al., 2010; West, 1996, 2000).

The null association between HRV and EF after controlling for covariates contrasts with HRV–EF relationships found in smaller, more homogeneous samples in which such controls were not required (reviewed in Thayer et al., 2009). However, it is consistent with a recent investigation of HRV and executive functioning using MIDUS II data (Kimhy et al., 2013), which likewise reported that significant relationships between a multifaceted EF factor and various HRV measures
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**Fig. 3.** Covariate-adjusted model. The basic structural model shown in Fig. 2 adjusted for the subset of demographic and health-related covariates of heart rate variability (HRV) that showed a significant bivariate relationship to HRV. Standardized regression weights are shown. (N = 533) χ² = 32.52 (p = .01); NFI = .951, CFI = .976, RMSEA = .044. *p < .05; **p = .01; ***p = .001. BMI = body mass index; CESD = Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale; EF = executive functioning; HRV = high-frequency heart rate variability (0.15–0.50 Hz, ms²); NA = negative affect; STAI = Spielberger Trait Anxiety Inventory–Trait scale. Please cite this article as: Mann, S.L., et al., Integrating affective and cognitive correlates of heart rate variability: A structural equation modeling approach, Int. J. Psychophysiol. (2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2015.07.003
were reduced to nonsignificance by the addition of demographic covariates (age, sex, education). It is also consistent with a recent investigation of relationships between regional cerebral blood flow (rCBF), resting HF-HRV, and performance on a battery of seven EF measures (Jennings et al., 2015). This study reported significant associations between HRV and rCBF in several brain areas related to vagal control yet found no relationship of HRV to EF performance or to EF–rCBF associations.

One interpretation of the current result is that the significant HRV–EF association in the initial structural model (Fig. 2) may have been attributable to both variables’ significant age-related decline. A caveat regarding this interpretation is that age, a strong predictor of HRV, EF, and NA in that model, summarizes a multitude of biological and psychological changes, as well as possible cohort and period effects, without reference to mechanisms. Therefore, controlling for age, particularly across a broad range, risks “washing out” subtle associations between age-affected processes (Type II error; Consonni et al., 1997). The finding that HRV and EF each showed stronger relationships to age than to one another does not preclude the possibility that their initial significant association reflected a meaningful link in which both variables also show significant age-related change.

In addition to the possible role of age in driving the HRV–EF relationship, key differences between MIDUS and prior studies (Thayer et al., 2009) may help to explain discrepancies. First, prior studies generally collected HRV and EF data at the same time points (Hansen et al., 2003, 2004, 2009), whereas in MIDUS II sometimes several years intervened. Whereas resting HRV is reasonably stable over time (Bertsch et al., 2012; Kleiger et al., 1991; Sinreich et al., 1998), extraneous influences may have obscured a relationship that would have been evident had the measures been collected at closer time points.

A second methodological difference is that some prior studies (e.g., Hansen et al., 2003, 2009) used median splits based on their respective HRV measures to define “high” versus “low” HRV groups for comparison on cognitive tasks. Although the use of median splits in this manner has been criticized (e.g., Cohen, 1983; MacCullum, 2002), dichotomizing HRV may better capture the functional form of the HRV–EF relationship than the use of linear models representing HRV as a continuous measure (e.g., Kimhy et al., 2013).

Thirdly, the discrepant findings are based on different approaches to operationalizing EF. The present study and Kimhy et al.’s (2013) recent MIDUS study each conceived of EF as a multifaceted capacity measured with multiple cognitive tests selected to tap different facets of executive functioning (Miyake et al., 2000; Tun and Lachman, 2006). In contrast, the few prior studies in which HRV predicted aspects of EF have examined narrower EF measures. These analyses parsed subtasks of continuous performance and two-back working memory tasks, conducting separate analyses of response times on specific component measures and on rates of true and false positive responses for task components (Hansen et al., 2003, 2004, 2009). These findings split moment-to-moment performance into executive and nonexecutive components, highlighting HRV-related differences in the former.

The present study sought to extend Hansen and colleagues’ work by testing resting HRV, as a continuous variable, as a predictor of performance on a multifaceted EF construct in a large, diverse sample. The lack of a significant HRV–EF relationship under these conditions contrasts with Hansen et al.’s work, yet it parallels findings by Kimhy et al. (2013), whose multifaceted EF construct included the current study’s three EF indicators plus two additional tests tapping processing speed and reasoning ability. Their covariate-adjusted models also showed no relationship of either resting or post-challenge HRV to EF, while exploratory post hoc analyses of individual cognitive measures revealed no nominally significant relationship: Faster vagal recovery from stress (but not resting HRV) was significantly related to faster response on the mixed-trial Red/Green task (Kimhy et al., 2013). This task’s demands for rapid task-switching and inhibition of rote, prepotent responses bear notable resemblance to the demands of Hansen and colleagues’ continuous performance task measure, suggesting that HRV may correlate with rapid switching between rote tasks under time pressure, though the extent to which this relationship is due to executive demands or other task features is unclear.

In sum, the significant relationship between HRV and EF in the initial structural model was eliminated by the addition of covariates (age, BMI, diabetes/hyperglycemia). The covariate-adjusted model showed age as the strongest predictor of EF, which is broadly consistent with research on age-related decline in both executive abilities (e.g., Lusczc, 2011) and HRV (e.g., Voss et al., 2012), and therefore with the possibility that controlling their relationship for age across a broad range may represent a form of “overcontrol” (Type II error). The lack of a relationship between HRV and EF in the covariate-adjusted model contrasts with prior findings in studies using smaller, more homogenous samples; simultaneous collection of cognitive and HRV data; dichotomized HRV measures; and narrower EF conceptualizations (Hansen et al., 2003, 2004, 2009). It is consistent with the results of Kimhy et al. (2013), whose multifaceted EF measures likewise showed no HRV–EF relationship after covariate adjustments.

4.3. Limitations

The literature on HRV and its many potential correlates includes a variety of findings that have yet to be fully integrated and resolved. This work includes recent studies supporting associations between HRV and either EF or NA in particular clinical populations (e.g., Hawland et al., 2012; Pittig et al., 2013), and HRV reactivity research showing task-related differences (e.g., Shcheslavskaya et al., 2010). Debate is ongoing with regard to the respective significance of task-based measures of HRV reactivity versus HRV measured at a resting baseline as meaningful indicators of neurocardiac interaction and parasympathetic tone (Berntson et al., 2007). Also under discussion is whether and when it may be useful, inconsequential, or problematic to control HRV for respiration (Denver et al., 2007). On this point, recent theoretical and empirical work suggests that such controls may be unnecessary (Denver et al., 2007; Thayer et al., 2011) particularly for baseline measures taken at rest (Houtveen et al., 2002), like those in the present study. Nonetheless, the mixed results and related debates underscore the need for caution in interpreting the findings of any single study of HRV.

In this vein, several limitations of the present study should be kept in mind. First, the characteristics of SEM are important to consider: It can probe causal relationships but it cannot demonstrate causality; it poses challenges for examining nonlinear relationships that may characterize autonomic functioning; and it shares with other methods the potential to yield misleading conclusions if influential variables are omitted (Berntson et al., 1994; Tomarken and Waller, 2005). Nonetheless, its ability to provide a global test of model fit for a set of linear relationships and to compare nested models makes it appropriate for testing theory-driven predictions about such relationships between a physiological variable, HRV, and the multifaceted psychological constructs of NA and EF.

Second, the use of cross-sectional data precludes causal or developmental inferences about the observed associations (Kraemer et al., 2000), a common limitation in research on HRV’s associations with EF or NA. As future waves of MIDUS gather physiological and cognitive data using the protocols initiated in MIDUS II, analyses of longitudinal change in the variables of interest will become possible. In those analyses, it will be necessary to address cohort effects, as higher levels of anxiety have been found in younger compared to older cohorts at comparable ages (Twenge, 2000). This effect complicates the inference of developmental change from the inverse age–NA relationship, pending longitudinal data on MIDUS II Biomarker Project measures. Similar cohort effects on EF are possible, in relation to educational levels. Older participants who tend to have lower HRV (Stein et al., 1997) also may tend to be less educated than younger participants, based on
trends in U.S. educational attainment (U.S. Bureau of the Census, 1977, 1987; U.S. Department of Education, 1996). Given that education level has shown associations with executive skills (e.g., Tun and Lachman, 2008; van Hooren et al., 2007), it may interact with age as a confounding influence on EF. However, controlling EF for education level also could artificially reduce real EF variance, because associations between education and many aspects of cognitive functioning are theoretically bidirectional (Cesi, 1991).

Third, the present sample poses limits on generalizability. There was low representation of racial and ethnic minorities among MIDUS II participants for whom both cognitive and biomarker data were collected, which is important given the differences between U.S. racial groups in resting HRV and in its patterns of age-related change (Choi et al., 2006; Liao et al., 1995). Also under-represented were individuals in poor physical health (Radler and Ryff, 2010). As a result, older participants may have had lower NA than older adults in the U.S. population at large, given that among older individuals, ill health is among the strongest predictors of anxiety and depression (Jorm, 2000; Wade and Cairney, 2000). Similarly, although medication-based exclusion criteria were imposed to avoid confounding effects on HRV, they may have enhanced the age–NA relationship and/or attenuated the age–EF relationship. Both possibilities reflect the increased risk of relatively poorer cardiovascular health among excluded individuals, which is associated with increased risk of depression and anxiety as well as with a vascular risk profile thought to confer executive impairments (Raz et al., 2003; Vicario et al., 2005). The age–NA relationship also may be specific to the portion of the lifespan represented in MIDUS II data (midlife to old age), given that, across the adult lifespan, a quadratic relationship has been described in which NA is relatively low during early adulthood, peaks at midlife, and declines in old age (Blanchflower and Oswald, 2008). Notwithstanding these considerations, the representativeness of the present sample compares favorably with many previous studies of HRV’s relationship to EF or NA.

5. Conclusions

Under the conditions of the current study, in the structural model that showed the best fit with the data, resting high frequency HRV had no predictive value in relation to either NA or EF after accounting for other influences, particularly age. Population and methodological differences may explain the discrepancy between these findings and those previously reported. It is also possible that statistical control for age obscures meaningful, psychologically relevant individual differences in HRV, including links to facets of EF. Nonetheless, the study provided an opportunity to confirm suggestions that individual differences in HRV are broadly predictive of cognitive and affective outcomes (e.g., Porges, 2011; Thayer et al., 2009) and it failed to do so.

Instead, the findings suggest that refinements in the understanding of linkages of HRV to NA and EF could be informed by (1) more fine-grained analysis of specific component elements of NA and EF and their possible differential associations with HRV, particularly the potential value of parsing the broad EF construct into specific abilities including processing speed (Luszcz, 2011) and subtask measures that differentially capture components? A reassessment of the evidence. Dev. Psychol. 27 (5), 703–714.
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